



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 197/198 (1999) 205–216

DISCRETE  
MATHEMATICS

# Distance-regular graphs which support a spin model are thin

Brian Curtin\*

*Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki 6-10-1, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-81, Japan*

Received 9 July 1997; received in revised form 30 January 1998; accepted 3 August 1998

---

## Abstract

We show that any distance-regular graph whose Bose–Mesner algebra contains a spin model of a certain type is thin in the sense of Terwilliger. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved

**Keywords:** Spin model; Terwilliger algebra; Distance-regular graph; Association scheme

---

## 1. Introduction

A spin model  $W$  is a square matrix satisfying certain conditions which ensure that it yields an invariant of knots and links via a statistical mechanical construction of Jones [20]. Recently, Jaeger [18] proved that every symmetric spin model  $W$  is contained in the Bose–Mesner algebra of some association scheme. Immediately after the announcement of this result, Nomura [21] gave a canonical construction for a Bose–Mesner algebra  $N(W)$  containing  $W$  for each symmetric spin model  $W$ . Nomura's construction was then generalized to include non-symmetric spin models by Jaeger, Matsumoto, and Nomura [19].

In this paper we investigate the situation  $W \in M \subseteq N(W)$ , where  $W$  denotes a spin model and  $M$  is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$ . More precisely, we study the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$  in this case.

Let  $\Gamma = (X, E)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$ , and fix a vertex  $x$  of  $\Gamma$ . The *Terwilliger algebra*  $T = T(x)$  is the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $A$ ,  $E_0^*$ ,  $E_1^*$ , ...,  $E_d^*$ , where  $A$  denotes the adjacency matrix for  $\Gamma$  and  $E_i^*$  denotes the projection onto the  $i$ th subconstituent of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ . An irreducible  $T$ -module  $W$  is said to be *thin* whenever  $\dim E_i^* W \leq 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).  $\Gamma$  is said to be *thin with respect to  $x$*  whenever every  $T(x)$  module is thin, and  $\Gamma$  is said to be

---

\* E-mail: curtin@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp.

thin whenever  $\Gamma$  is thin with respect to every vertex. Every distance-regular graph with diameter at most 2 is thin, but distance-regular graphs with diameter at least 3 need not be thin.

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, and assume that  $W \in M \subseteq N(W)$ . Write  $W = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i A_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ th distance-matrix of  $\Gamma$ , and assume that the scalars  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  satisfy  $t_i \notin \{t_0, -t_0\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Then  $\Gamma$  is thin.

## 2. Background

In this section we briefly review some background material. We begin by recalling some facts about commutative association schemes. For more details see [4] or [7].

Let  $X$  be a finite, non-empty set, and let  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathcal{C})$  denote the  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra of matrices with entries in  $\mathcal{C}$  whose rows and columns are indexed by  $X$ . For all  $A \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathcal{C})$  and for all  $a, b \in X$ , we write  $A(a, b)$  to denote the  $(a, b)$ -entry of  $A$ . Let  $V = \mathcal{C}^{|X|}$  denote the column vector space indexed by  $X$ . Observe that  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathcal{C})$  acts on  $V$  by left multiplication. For each  $y \in X$ , let  $\hat{y}$  denote the element of  $V$  with a 1 in the  $y$  coordinate and zeros everywhere else. We endow  $V$  with the Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined by  $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t \bar{v}$ .

By a *commutative association scheme* (or simply *scheme* hereafter) we mean a pair  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$ , where  $X$  is a finite, non-empty set, and where  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathcal{C})$  are non-zero  $(0,1)$  matrices satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J$  (the all ones matrix), (ii)  $A_0 = I$  (the identity matrix), (iii) for all  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) there exists an  $i'$  ( $0 \leq i' \leq d$ ) such that  $A_i^t = A_{i'}$ , and (iv) for all  $h, i$ , and  $j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ) there exists a scalar  $p_{ij}^h$  such that  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h A_h$ .  $A_i$  is called the  $i$ th *associate matrix* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  be a scheme. From (i)–(iv) we see that  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d$  form a basis for a commutative subalgebra  $M$  of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathcal{C})$ . We refer to  $M$  as the *Bose–Mesner algebra* of  $\mathcal{X}$ . By [4],  $M$  has a basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  satisfying: (i)  $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I$ , (ii)  $E_0 = |X|^{-1} J$ , (iii) for all  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) there exists an  $\hat{i}$  ( $0 \leq \hat{i} \leq d$ ) such that  $E_i^t = \bar{E}_{\hat{i}} = E_i$ , and (iv)  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ). We refer to  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  as the *primitive idempotents* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Observe that  $M$  is closed under entry-wise multiplication,  $\circ$ . By the *Hadamard algebra* on  $M$  we mean the vector space  $M$  together with  $\circ$ . Observe that the  $A_i$  are the primitive idempotents of the Hadamard algebra.

By a *duality* of  $M$  we mean a vector space isomorphism  $\Psi$  mapping  $M$  onto itself satisfying  $\Psi(AB) = \Psi(A) \circ \Psi(B)$ ,  $\Psi(A \circ B) = |X|^{-1} \Psi(A) \Psi(B)$ , and  $\Psi(\Psi(A)) = |X| A'$  for all  $A, B \in M$ . Whenever a duality of  $M$  exists, we say that  $M$  is *self-dual*.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Suppose there exists a duality  $\Psi$  of  $M$ . Then there exists an ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  of the primitive idempotents such that  $\Psi(E_i) = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). We refer to this ordering as the standard ordering of the primitive idempotents with respect to  $\Psi$ .

**Proof.** Observe that for all  $i, j$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ),  $\Psi(E_i) \circ \Psi(E_j) = \Psi(E_i E_j) = \delta_{ij} \Psi(E_i)$ , so  $\Psi(E_0), \Psi(E_1), \dots, \Psi(E_d)$  form a basis of primitive idempotents for the Hadamard algebra on  $M$ . It follows that for an appropriate indexing  $\Psi(E_i) = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Suppose there exists a duality  $\Psi$  of  $M$ . Let  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{X}$  in the standard order with respect to  $\Psi$ .

- (i)  $\Psi(A_i) = |X|E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).
- (ii)  $\hat{i} = i'$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

**Proof.** (i) By definition  $\Psi(\Psi(E_i)) = |X|E_i$ . We have seen that  $\Psi(E_i) = A_i$  by Lemma 2.1, so the result follows.

- (ii) Observe that  $|X|A_{i'} = \Psi(\Psi(A_i)) = |X|\Psi(E_i) = |X|A_i$ .  $\square$

We now briefly review the connection between (not necessarily symmetric) spin models and commutative association schemes. For more information concerning this material see [19,21,2]. Let  $X$  be a finite, non-empty set. A *spin model* on  $X$  is a matrix  $W \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  that has all entries non-zero, and which satisfies the following equations for all  $a, b, c \in X$ :

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x, b)W(x, c)^{-1} = |X|\delta_{bc},$$

$$\sum_{x \in X} W(x, a)W(x, b)W(x, c)^{-1} = LW(a, b)W(c, b)^{-1}W(a, c)^{-1}$$

for some  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L^2 = |X|$ . A spin model is said to be *symmetric* when it is a symmetric matrix. Each spin model gives rise to a scheme via the following construction of Nomura. For all  $b, c \in X$ , define  $Y_{bc} \in V$  to have  $x$  coordinate  $Y_{bc}(x) = W(x, b)/W(x, c)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define  $N(W)$  to be the set of all matrices  $A \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  such that for all  $b, c \in X$ , the vector  $Y_{bc}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ . By [19],  $W \in N(W)$  and  $N(W)$  is the Bose–Mesner algebra of some scheme.

Let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ . For  $A \in N(W)$ , let  $\Psi(A) \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  be defined by  $AY_{bc} = (\Psi(A))(b, c)Y_{bc}$  for all  $b, c \in X$ . By [19],  $\Psi$  is a duality of  $N(W)$ , and the map  $\Psi$  can be expressed by

$$\Psi(A) = \alpha^{-1}W \circ (W''(W' \circ A)) \quad (A \in N(W)), \quad (1)$$

where  $W'$  is defined by  $W'(x, y) = W(y, x)^{-1}$  ( $x, y \in X$ ), and where  $\alpha$  is the scalar given by  $W'J = L\alpha J$ . Observe that  $\Psi(W) = LW''$  and  $\Psi(W') = LW$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and let  $W$  be a spin model on  $X$ . Suppose  $W \in M$ . By the *weights* of  $W$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$ ) we mean the complex scalars  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  such that  $W = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i A_i$ . The scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to *support* the spin model  $W$  whenever  $W \in M \subseteq N(W)$ .

An example is discussed in [19] of a scheme which supports a spin model  $W$  and whose Bose–Mesner algebra is properly contained in  $N(W)$ . Our aim is to study the distance-regular graphs which support a spin model. Before proceeding to this case, let us try to understand the notion of support better. In particular, we wish to stress that the assumption  $M \subseteq N(W)$  is essential and independent of the assumption that  $W \in M$ . The notion of support is related to that of fusion schemes. Let  $\mathcal{Y} = (X, \{B_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,D})$  denote a scheme. By a *fusion scheme* of  $\mathcal{Y}$  (or its Bose–Mesner algebra), we mean a scheme  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  such that each  $A_i$  is the sum of a subset of  $\{B_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,D}$ . For more on fusion schemes see, for example [6].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$  if and only if  $W \in M$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a fusion scheme of  $N(W)$ .

**Proof.** First suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$ . Then  $W \in M$  and  $A_i \in N(W)$  by definition. Thus each  $A_i$  is a linear combination of the associate matrices for  $N(W)$ . Since the associate matrices are zero-one matrices with no common non-zero entries, it must be the case that the coefficients of this linear combination are zero and one, and this implies that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a fusion scheme of the scheme of  $N(W)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a fusion scheme of  $N(W)$ . Then certainly each  $A_i \in N(W)$ , so  $M \subseteq N(W)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$ .  $\square$

Schemes which support a spin model inherit a duality from  $N(W)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ , assume that  $W \in M$ , and let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\Psi$  denote the duality of  $N(W)$  given by (1).

- (i)  $W' = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} A_i$ . In particular,  $W' \in M$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$ . Then the restriction of  $\Psi$  to  $M$  is a duality of  $M$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  is self-dual.

**Proof.** (i) Clear since  $W \circ (W')' = J$ .

(ii) For all  $A \in M$ ,  $\Psi(A) \in M$  by (1) since  $M$  is closed under transposition, Hadamard product, and matrix product. Now the restriction  $\Psi|_M$  is a duality of  $M$  in light of the remarks preceding Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$ .

An ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *standard with respect to  $W$*  whenever it is standard with respect to  $\Psi|_M$ , where  $\Psi$  is the duality of  $N(W)$  given by (1).

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  supports  $W$ . Let  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{X}$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ . Then*

$$W = L \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} E_i, \quad W' = L \sum_{i=0}^d t_i E_i.$$

**Proof.** We have seen that  $\Psi(W') = LW$  by (1). Also, applying Lemma 2.2, we see that  $\Psi(W') = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} \Psi(A_i') = L^2 \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} E_i$ . It follows that  $W = L \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} E_i$ . Now, since  $WW' = |X|I$ ,  $W' = L \sum_{i=0}^d t_i E_i$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\chi = (X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  denote a scheme, and let  $W$  denote a spin model on  $X$ . Let  $M$  denote the Bose–Mesner algebra of  $\chi$ , assume  $W \in M$ , and let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\chi$ . Then*

- (i)  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  are distinct if and only if  $W$  generates  $M$ .
- (ii) If these equivalent conditions hold, then  $M \subseteq N(W)$ , and  $\chi$  supports  $W$ .

**Proof.** Clearly a matrix  $Z = \sum_{i=0}^d z_i E_i$  generates  $M$  if and only if the  $z_i$  are distinct. By Lemma 2.7,  $W = L \sum_{i=0}^d t_i^{-1} E_i$ , and (i) follows. If  $W$  generates  $M$ , then clearly  $M \subseteq N(W)$ , so  $\chi$  supports  $W$ .  $\square$

Although the algebra generated by a spin model  $W$  is a natural object to consider, it is not always a Bose–Mesner algebra, as shown by examples given in [3]. See also [17] for further discussion of this point. However, it is not necessary that a spin model generates the Bose–Mesner algebra of any scheme which supports it.

We now review some facts about distance-regular graphs and the  $Q$ -polynomial property. See [4] or [7] for more details. Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a finite, connected, undirected graph with no loops or multiple edges, let  $\hat{c}$  denote the shortest-path distance function of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $d$  denote the diameter of  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to be *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) and for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\hat{c}(x, y) = i$ , the numbers  $c_i = |\{z \in X \mid \hat{c}(x, z) = i - 1, \hat{c}(y, z) = 1\}|$ ,  $a_i = |\{z \in X \mid \hat{c}(x, z) = i, \hat{c}(y, z) = 1\}|$ , and  $b_i = |\{z \in X \mid \hat{c}(x, z) = i + 1, \hat{c}(y, z) = 1\}|$  are independent of  $x$  and  $y$ . The constants  $c_i$ ,  $a_i$ , and  $b_i$  are known as the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . For  $x \in X$ , we write  $\Gamma_i(x) = \{y \in X \mid \hat{c}(x, y) = i\}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

For each integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(x, y)$ -entry  $A_i(x, y) = 1$  if  $\hat{c}(x, y) = i$  and 0 otherwise. The matrix  $A_i$  is called the  *$i$ th distance matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . The matrix  $A = A_1$  is just the *adjacency matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . It is well known that  $(X, \{A_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,d})$  is an association scheme. We use  $\Gamma$  to refer to both the graph and to the association scheme arising from the graph. Observe that every matrix in the Bose–Mesner algebra of a distance-regular graph is symmetric.

Observe that  $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i$  for some scalars  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ . The scalar  $\theta_i$  is known as the *eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E_i$ . It is well known that the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  are distinct. For any primitive idempotent  $E$ , there exist scalars  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  such that  $E = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* A_i$ . The scalars  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  are called the *dual eigenvalues* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E$ . Observe that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\langle E\hat{x}, E\hat{y} \rangle = |X|^{-1} \theta_h^*$ , where  $h = \partial(x, y)$ .

An ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  of the primitive idempotents is said to be a *Q-polynomial ordering* whenever for all distinct integers  $i, j$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ),  $E_i(E_i \circ E_j) \neq 0$  if and only if  $|i - j| = 1$ . Let  $E$  denote a primitive idempotent. Then  $\Gamma$  is said to be *Q-polynomial with respect to  $E$*  when there exists a *Q-polynomial ordering* of the primitive idempotents such that  $E = E_1$ .  $\Gamma$  is said to be *Q-polynomial* whenever  $\Gamma$  is *Q-polynomial with respect to* at least one primitive idempotent. We will use the following characterization of the *Q-polynomial* property.

**Theorem 2.9** (Terwilliger [26, Theorem 3.3(vii)]). *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$ . Let  $E$  denote a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  denote the dual eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is *Q-polynomial with respect to  $E$*  if and only if (i)  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ), and (ii) for all  $j, k$  ( $1 \leq j, k \leq d$ ) and for all vertices  $y$  and  $y'$*

$$\sum_{u \in \Gamma_j(y) \cap \Gamma_k(y')} E\hat{u} - \sum_{u \in \Gamma_k(y) \cap \Gamma_j(y')} E\hat{u} \in \text{span}\{E\hat{y} - E\hat{y}'\}. \quad (2)$$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model and assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . Let  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ . Then  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  is a *Q-polynomial ordering*.*

**Proof.** The metric property of  $\partial$  implies that for all distinct  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $A \circ (A_i A_j) \neq 0$  if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$ . Applying  $\Psi$  to this relation shows that  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  is a *Q-polynomial ordering*.  $\square$

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . An ordering  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$  of the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  is said to be *standard with respect to  $W$*  if the corresponding ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$  is standard with respect to  $W$ . By the *standard dual eigenvalues with respect to  $W$* , we mean the dual eigenvalues with respect to  $E_1$ , where  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . Let  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ , and let  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  denote the standard dual eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $W$ . Then  $\theta_i^* = \theta_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).*

**Proof.** Applying  $\Psi$  to  $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i$  shows that  $\theta_i^* = \theta_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).  $\square$

We now briefly review the Terwilliger algebra of a distance-regular graph. For more on the Terwilliger algebra see [23–25]. Fix any  $x \in X$ . For each integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry  $E_i^*(y, y) = 1$  if  $\partial(x, y) = i$ , and 0 otherwise. Let  $T = T(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $A, E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_d^*$ . The algebra  $T$  is called the *Terwilliger (or subconstituent) algebra of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$* .

By a *T-module* we mean a subspace  $U \subseteq V$  such that  $TU \subseteq U$ . A *T-module*  $U$  is said to be *irreducible* whenever  $U \neq 0$  and  $U$  contains no *T-modules* other than 0 and  $U$ . An irreducible *T-module*  $U$  is called *thin* if  $\dim E_i^* U \leq 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *thin with respect to  $x$*  when every irreducible *T-module* is thin. The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *thin* when  $\Gamma$  is thin with respect to every  $x \in X$ .

For the  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, the thin property has been characterized by a simple combinatorial condition:

**Theorem 2.13** (Terwilliger [25, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$  and suppose that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. Fix  $x \in X$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is thin with respect to  $x$  if and only if for all  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ ) and for all  $w, w' \in \Gamma_i(x)$ ,  $|\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_2(w')| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_2(w) \cap \Gamma_1(w')|$ .*

We conclude this section by recalling some facts from [12] about distance-regular graphs which support a spin model.

**Lemma 2.14** (Curtin and Nomura [12, Lemma 4.2]). *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, and assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . Let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ . Fix  $u, v \in X$ , and write  $h = \partial(u, v)$ . Then for all  $r, s$  ( $0 \leq r, s \leq d$ ) and for all  $w \in \Gamma_r(u) \cap \Gamma_s(v)$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=r-1}^{r+1} \sum_{j=s-1}^{s+1} |\Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma_j(v)| \frac{t_i}{t_j} = \theta_h \frac{t_r}{t_s}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=r-1}^{r+1} \sum_{j=s-1}^{s+1} |\Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma_j(v)| \frac{t_j}{t_i} = \theta_h \frac{t_s}{t_r}. \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 2.15** (Curtin and Nomura [12, Lemma 4.5]). *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, and assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . Let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  denote the standard dual eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $W$ . Then for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ )*

$$\frac{t_i/(t_{i-1}) - (t_{i-1})/t_i}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} = \frac{(t_1/t_0) - (t_0/t_1)}{\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*}. \quad (5)$$

**Corollary 2.16** (Curtin and Nomura [12, Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7]). Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  denote a spin model, and assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ . Let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ .

- (i) If  $t_j \in \{t_{j-1}, -t_{j-1}\}$  for some  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d$ ), then  $t_i \in \{t_0, -t_0\}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).
- (ii) If  $t_{j-1} = t_{j+1}$  for some  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ ), then  $t_i \in \{t_0, -t_0\}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

The spin models which have only two distinct entries have been discussed in a more general context in [15].

### 3. Main result

Throughout this section  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  shall denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and  $W$  shall denote a spin model. We assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$ , and write  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  to denote the weights of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ . We further assume that the weights  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  satisfy  $t_i \notin \{t_0, -t_0\}$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Throughout this section,  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$  will denote the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  in the standard order with respect to  $W$ , and  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$  will represent the standard dual eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $W$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Fix  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ ), and fix  $x, y, y' \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = i$ ,  $\partial(x, y') = i$ , and  $\partial(y, y') = 3$ . For all  $h$  ( $2 \leq h \leq 4$ ) and for all  $j$  ( $i-1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ), define  $I_j^h = I_j^h(i, x, y, y')$  by  $I_j^h = |\Gamma_j(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_h(y')|$ , and define  $I_j^{th} = I_j^{th}(i, x, y, y')$  by  $I_j^{th} = |\Gamma_j(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y') \cap \Gamma_h(y)|$ .

Observe that by elementary counting arguments  $I_{i+1}^3 = b_i - I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}^4$ ,  $I_{i-1}^3 = c_i - I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}^4$ ,  $I_i^2 = c_3 - I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i-1}^2$ ,  $I_i^4 = b_3 - I_{i+1}^4 - I_{i-1}^4$ , and  $I_i^3 = a_3 - b_i - c_i + I_{i+1}^2 + I_{i+1}^4 + I_{i-1}^2 + I_{i-1}^4$ . Thus we will always simplify our expressions so that only the four terms  $I_{i-1}^2, I_{i-1}^4, I_{i+1}^2$ , and  $I_{i+1}^4$  appear. We will similarly simplify expressions involving the  $I_j^{th}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** With the notation of Definition 3.1,

$$0 = -(I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}'^2)(t_{i+1}^2 - t_i^2)t_{i-1} + (I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}'^2)(t_i^2 - t_{i-1}^2)t_{i+1}, \quad (6)$$

$$0 = -(I_{i+1}^4 - I_{i+1}'^4)(t_{i+1}^2 - t_i^2)t_{i-1} + (I_{i-1}^4 - I_{i-1}'^4)(t_i^2 - t_{i-1}^2)t_{i+1}. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** To see (6), set  $j = 1$  and  $k = 2$  in (2) and take the inner product of both sides with  $E\hat{x}$  to obtain

$$0 = (I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}'^2)(\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_i^*) + (I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}'^2)(\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*).$$

Eliminating the dual eigenvalues using (5), we routinely obtain (6). Equation (7) is proved similarly by setting  $j = 1$  and  $k = 4$  in (2).  $\square$



**Lemma 3.3.** *With the notation of Definition 3.1,*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}'^2)(t_2^{-1} - t_3^{-1})(t_{i+1} - t_i) + (I_{i+1}^4 - I_{i+1}'^4)(t_4^{-1} - t_3^{-1})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \\ & + (I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}'^2)(t_2^{-1} - t_3^{-1})(t_{i-1} - t_i) \\ & + (I_{i-1}^4 - I_{i-1}'^4)(t_4^{-1} - t_3^{-1})(t_{i-1} - t_i), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}'^2)(t_2 - t_3)(t_{i+1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}) + (I_{i+1}^4 - I_{i+1}'^4)(t_4 - t_3)(t_{i+1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}) \\ & + (I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}'^2)(t_2 - t_3)(t_{i-1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}) + (I_{i-1}^4 - I_{i-1}'^4)(t_4 - t_3)(t_{i-1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** To prove (8), first apply (3) with  $u=x$ ,  $v=y'$ , and  $w=y$ . After simplifying this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i \frac{t_i}{t_3} = & I_{i+1}^2 \left( \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_2} - \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_3} - \frac{t_i}{t_2} + \frac{t_i}{t_3} \right) + I_{i+1}^4 \left( \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_4} - \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_3} - \frac{t_i}{t_4} + \frac{t_i}{t_3} \right) \\ & + I_{i-1}^2 \left( \frac{t_{i-1}}{t_2} - \frac{t_i}{t_2} - \frac{t_{i-1}}{t_3} + \frac{t_i}{t_3} \right) + I_{i-1}^4 \left( \frac{t_{i-1}}{t_4} - \frac{t_i}{t_4} - \frac{t_{i-1}}{t_3} + \frac{t_i}{t_3} \right) \\ & + b_i \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_3} + c_3 \frac{t_i}{t_2} + b_3 \frac{t_i}{t_4} + c_i \frac{t_{i-1}}{t_3} + (a_3 - b_i - c_i) \frac{t_i}{t_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply (3) with  $u=x$ ,  $v=y$ , and  $w=y'$ . This yields a second equation which are identical to the one which appears immediately above and except that each  $I_j^h$  is replaced by  $I_j'^h$ . Subtracting this second equation from the first yields (8). Equation (9) is proved similarly using (4) in place of (3).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *With the notation of Definition 3.1,  $I_j^h = I_j'^h$  ( $2 \leq h \leq 4$ ,  $i-1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ).*

**Proof.** Observe that equations (6), (7), (8), and (9) give a system of four homogeneous linear equations in the four variables  $I_{i+1}^2 - I_{i+1}'^2$ ,  $I_{i-1}^4 - I_{i-1}'^4$ ,  $I_{i-1}^2 - I_{i-1}'^2$ , and  $I_{i+1}^4 - I_{i+1}'^4$ . The coefficient matrix of this system has determinant

$$\frac{(t_3 - t_2)(t_2 - t_4)(t_4 - t_3)(t_i - t_{i-1})^2(t_{i+1} - t_{i-1})^2(t_i - t_{i+1})^2}{t_2 t_3 t_4}.$$

This determinant is non-zero by Corollary 2.16 since  $t_i \notin \{t_0, -t_0\}$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Hence, all four variables are zero, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** Fix  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ ), and pick  $x, z, z' \in X$  with  $\partial(x, z) = i$ ,  $\partial(x, z') = i$ , and  $\partial(z, z') = 2$ . For all  $h$  ( $1 \leq h \leq 3$ ) and for all  $j$  ( $i-1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ), define  $H_j^h = H_j^h(i, x, z, z')$  by  $H_j^h = |\Gamma_j(x) \cap \Gamma_1(z) \cap \Gamma_h(z')|$ , and define  $H_j'^h = H_j'^h(i, x, z, z')$  by  $H_j'^h = |\Gamma_j(x) \cap \Gamma_1(z') \cap \Gamma_h(z)|$ .

Observe that by elementary counting arguments  $H_{i+1}^2 = b_i - H_{i+1}^3 - H_{i-1}^1$ ,  $H_{i-1}^2 = c_i - H_{i-1}^3 - H_{i-1}^1$ ,  $H_i^1 = c_2 - H_{i+1}^1 - H_{i-1}^1$ ,  $H_i^3 = b_2 - H_{i+1}^3 - H_{i-1}^3$ , and  $H_i^2 = a_2 - b_i - c_i +$

$H_{i+1}^1 + H_{i+1}^3 + H_{i-1}^1 + H_{i-1}^3$ . Similar expressions hold for the  $H_j^h$ . Also observe that  $H_j^1 = H_j^{11}$  for all  $j$  ( $i-1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ).

**Lemma 3.6.** *With the notation of Definition 3.5,*

$$0 = (H_{i+1}^3 - H_{i+1}'^3)(t_{i+1} - t_i) + (H_{i-1}^3 - H_{i-1}'^3)(t_{i-1} - t_i), \quad (10)$$

$$0 = (H_{i+1}^3 - H_{i+1}'^3)(t_{i+1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}) + (H_{i-1}^3 - H_{i-1}'^3)(t_{i-1}^{-1} - t_i^{-1}). \quad (11)$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we first apply (3) with  $u=x$ ,  $v=z'$ , and  $w=z$ , and then we subtract the analogous equation arising when we take  $u=x$ ,  $v=z$ , and  $w=z'$ . This gives (10). Equation (11) is proved similarly using (4) in place of (3).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *With the notation of Definition 3.5,  $H_j^h = H_j^{jh}$  ( $1 \leq h \leq 3$ ,  $i-1 \leq j \leq i+1$ ).*

**Proof.** Observe that equations (10) and (11) give a system of two homogeneous linear equations in the two variables  $H_{i+1}^3 - H_{i+1}'^3$  and  $H_{i-1}^3 - H_{i-1}'^3$ . The coefficient matrix of this system has determinant

$$\frac{(t_i - t_{i-1})(t_{i+1} - t_{i-1})(t_{i+1} - t_i)}{t_{i-1}t_it_{i+1}}.$$

This determinant is non-zero by Corollary 2.16 since  $t_i \notin \{t_0, -t_0\}$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Thus both variables are zero, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let  $W$  denote a spin model. Assume that  $\Gamma$  supports  $W$  and that the weights  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  satisfy  $t_i \notin \{t_0, -t_0\}$  for all  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Then  $\Gamma$  is thin.*

**Proof.** Fix  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ ), and fix vertices  $x, w, w' \in X$  such that  $\partial(x, w) = i$ ,  $\partial(x, w') = i$ . By Theorem 2.13 it suffices to show that

$$|\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_2(w) \cap \Gamma_1(w')| = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_2(w')|. \quad (12)$$

Observe that the left-hand side of (12) equals  $c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_1(w')|$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 1$ ,  $H_{i-1}^2(i, x, w, w')$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 2$ ,  $I_{i-1}^2(i, x, w, w')$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 3$ , and 0 otherwise. The right-hand side of (12) equals  $c_i - |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(w) \cap \Gamma_1(w')|$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 1$ ,  $H_{i-1}^2(i, x, w, w')$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 2$ ,  $I_{i-1}^2(i, x, w, w')$  if  $\partial(w, w') = 3$ , and 0 otherwise. Now (12) holds in light of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let  $W$  denote a spin model. Assume that  $W$  lies in the Bose–Mesner algebra*

of  $\Gamma$ . If the weights  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_d$  of  $W$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  are distinct from one another and from  $-t_0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is thin.

**Proof.** Clear from Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks Paul Terwilliger for pointing out the application of some of his results concerning the  $Q$ -polynomial property to distance-regular graphs which support a spin model.

## References

- [1] J.M.P. Balmaceda, M. Oura, The Terwilliger algebras of the group association schemes of  $S_5$  and  $A_5$ , Kyushu J. Math. 48 (1994) 221–231.
- [2] E. Bannai, Et. Bannai, F. Jaeger, On spin models, modular invariance, and duality, J. Algebraic Combin. 6 (1997) 203–228.
- [3] E. Bannai, Et. Bannai, T. Ikuta, K. Kawagoe, Spin models constructed from the Hamming association schemes, in Proc. 10th Algebraic Combinatorics Symp. at Gifu University, 1992.
- [4] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [5] E. Bannai, A. Munemasa, The Terwilliger algebras of group association schemes, Kyushu J. Math. 49 (1995) 93–102.
- [6] E. Bannai, S.-Y. Song, Character tables of fission schemes and fusions schemes, Eur. J. Combin. 14 (1993) 385–396.
- [7] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [8] J.S. Caughman IV, The Terwilliger algebra for bipartite  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes, preprint.
- [9] B.V.C. Collins, The Terwilliger algebra of an almost-bipartite distance-regular graph and its antipodal cover, preprint.
- [10] B.V.C. Collins, The girth of a thin distance-regular graph, Graphs Combin. 13 (1997) 21–34.
- [11] B. Curtin, 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, Discrete Math. 187 (1998) 39–70.
- [12] B. Curtin, K. Nomura, Some formulas for spin models on distance-regular graphs, preprint.
- [13] G. Dickie, Twice  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs are thin, Eur. J. Combin. 16 (1995) 555–560.
- [14] G. Dickie, A note on bipartite  $P$ -polynomial association schemes and dual-bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes, preprint.
- [15] H. Guo, T. Huang, Some classes of four-weight spin models, J. Statist. Plann. Inferences, to appear.
- [16] S.A. Hobart, T. Ito, The structure of nonthin irreducible  $T$ -modules: ladder bases and classical parameters, J. Algebraic Combin. 7 (1998) 53–75.
- [17] F. Jaeger, Strongly regular graphs and spin models for the Kauffman polynomial, Geom. Dedicata 44 (1992) 23–52.
- [18] F. Jaeger, Towards a classification of spin models in terms of association schemes, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 24 (1996) 197–225.
- [19] F. Jaeger, M. Matsumoto, K. Nomura, Bose–Mesner algebras related to type II matrices and spin models, J. Algebraic Combin. 8 (1998) 39–72.
- [20] V.F.R. Jones, On knot invariants related to some statistical mechanical models, Pacific J. Math. 137 (1989) 311–224.
- [21] K. Nomura, An algebra associated with a spin model, J. Algebraic Combin. 6 (1997) 53–58.
- [22] K. Tanabe, The irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebras of Doob schemes, J. Algebraic Combin. 6 (1997) 173–195.

- [23] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part I). *J. Algeb. Combin.* 1(4) (1992) 363–388.
- [24] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part II). *J. Algeb. Combin.* 2(1) (1993) 73–103.
- [25] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part III). *J. Algeb. Combin.* 2(2) (1993) 177–210.
- [26] P. Terwilliger, A new inequality for distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* 137 (1995) 319–332.
- [27] M. Tomiyama, N. Yamazaki, The subconstituent algebra of a strongly regular graph, *Kyushu J. Math.* 48 (1994) 323–334.
- [28] Y. Watatani, Association schemes, Terwilliger algebras, and Takesaki duality, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* 840 (1993) 19–31.